## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

FILED
JUL 2 4 2000

In re: Vitamins Antitrust Litigation

MDL No. 1285

NANCYMAYER WHITTINGTON, CLE

Misc. No. 99-0197 (TFH)

Case No. 99-1996 (TFH)

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Kellogg Company,

Plaintiff,

v.

BASF AG, et al.,

Defendants.

RECEIVED

JUL 2 4 2000

Judge THOMAS F. HOGAN

## STIPULATION CONCERNING RESPONSES TO SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT OF KELLOGG COMPANY

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between the undersigned, that the answer, motion against, or other response that each served defendant filed in response to the original complaint or First Amended Complaint filed by plaintiff Kellogg Company in the above-captioned matter (the "Original Complaints") shall, at each such defendant's option, be deemed filed and responsive to the Second Amended Complaint that was filed by plaintiff Kellogg Company on or about July 11, 2000 (the "Second Amended Complaint").

On or before August 10, 2000, each such defendant may file a response to the Second Amended Complaint that incorporates by reference that defendant's response to the Original Complaints and contains additional answers, defenses, or other responses as appropriate.

All defenses, including specifically the defenses of lack of jurisdiction and insufficiency of service of process, are preserved.

Dated: New York, New York July 20, 2000

Respectfully submitted,

ZELLE, HOFMANN, VOELBEL & GETTE LLP

By: \_\_\_(

**ra**ig C. Corbett

500 Sansome Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, California 94111

(415) 693-0700

Counsel for Plaintiff Kellogg Company

SQUADRON, ELLENOFF, PLESENT & SHEINFELD, LLP

By:

Lawrence Byrne

551 Fifth Avenue

New York, New York 10176

(212) 661-6500

Counsel for Defendant Takeda Vitamin & Food USA, Inc., on behalf of all served defendants in the above-captioned matter

SO ORDERED:

THOMAS F. HOGAN

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

1/24/2000